arXiv:1404.4352v1 [math.CO] 16 Apr 2014

A complete classification of the $(15_4\, 20_3)$-configurations with at least three K_5-graphs

K. Petelczyc, M. Prażmowska, K. Prażmowski

April 17, 2014

Abstract

The class of $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configurations which contain at least $n-2$ K_n-graphs coincides with the class of so called systems of triangle perspectives i.e. of configurations which contain a bundle of $n-2$ Pasch configurations with a common line. For $n=5$ the class consists of all binomial partial Steiner triple systems on 15 points, that contain at least three K_5-graphs. In this case a complete classification of respective configurations is given and their automorphisms are determined.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05B30 (05C51, 05B40).
Keywords: binomial partial Steiner triple system, complete graph contained in a configuration, Veblen (Pasch) configuration, (generalized) Desargues configuration, 10_3G Kantor's configuration, multiveblen configuration, system of triangle perspectives.

Introduction

It is a quite common research project to characterize (and classify) configurations (more generally: block designs) which contain (or not) subconfigurations in a definite class (comp. [7], [2]. [3]). In the case of our paper these are: partial Steiner triple systems with complete graphs 'inside'.

The minimal size of a partial Steiner triple system i.e. of a $(v_r\, b_3)$-configuration which contains a complete graph K_n is $v=\binom{n+1}{2}$, $b=\binom{n+1}{3}$, $r=n-1$, so such a minimal configuration PSTS is a binomial configuration.

Generally, a binomial $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration may contain $0,1,\ldots,n-1,n+1$ graphs K_n. All the (minimal) configurations which contain K_4 were classified in [8]. These all are well known 10_3-configurations (comp. [1], [9]).

Binomial (minimal) configurations with K_5 are $(15_4\, 20_3)$-configurations, so each of them may contain $0,1,2,3,4$ or 6 K_5-graphs. In this paper we classify all these $(15_4\, 20_3)$-configurations which contain at least three copies of K_5. We prove that there are seventeen such configurations (four of them are so called multi-Veblen configurations MVC with 4 or 6 copies of K_5), we present each of these configurations, and we determine the automorphism group of each of them.

As a technical tool to achieve our result we use the representation of our $(15_4\, 20_3)$-configurations as so-called systems of triangle perspectives STP.

In accordance with the general theory every binomial $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration with at least $n-2$ copies of K_n can be represented as a system of triangle perspectives STP (comp. [11]). The number of STP's grows rapidly; for $n=4$ there are three STP's, two of them are MVC, for $n=5$ there are seventeen STP's, three of them are (simple) MVC, for $n=6$ there are seven simple MVC's (cf. [13]) and at least thirty other STP's (the classification is not yet completed). The problem to classify all the minimal PSTS's with at least $n-2$ K_n-graphs in each is, theoretically, solved: it is equivalent to classification of all the edge-colorings of the complete digraph on $n-2$ vertices by the elements of the group S_3. Practically, for $n>5$ this classification cannot be achieved, we think, 'by hand' and computer methods must be used.

1 Definitions and the results

1.1 Some (basic) combinatorial configurations

Recall that the term *combinatorial configuration* (or simply a configuration) is usually (cf. [4], [15], [6]) used for a finite incidence point-line structure with constant line size and point rank provided that two different points are incident with at most one line. A (v_r, b_k)-*configuration* is a combinatorial configuration with v points and b lines such that there are r lines through each point, and there are k points on each line. A *binomial configuration* is a combinatorial $(v_r\, b_\kappa)$-configuration such that $v=\binom{r+\kappa-1}{r}$ and $b=\binom{r+\kappa-1}{\kappa}$. In what follows we shall be primarily interested in *binomial partial Steiner triple systems* i.e. in the $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configurations with arbitrary integer $n\geq 3$.

Let k be a positive integer and X a set; we write $\wp_k(X)$ for the set of all k-subsets of X. A multiset with repetitions (*a multiset*) of cardinality k with elements in the set X is a function $f\colon X\longrightarrow N$ such that $|f|:=\sum_{x\in X}f(x)=k<\infty$. We write $\mathfrak{y}_k(X)$ for the family of all such multisets. Clearly, if $f\in\mathfrak{y}_k(X)$ then $\operatorname{supp}(f)=\{x\in X\colon f(x)\neq 0\}$ is finite. In particular, if X is finite, we can identify f with the (formal) polynomial $f=\prod_{x\in\operatorname{supp}(f)}x^{f(x)}=\prod_{x\in X}x^{f(x)}$ with variables in X; we have $|\mathfrak{y}_k(X)|=\binom{|X|+k-1}{k}$.

The incidence structure

$$\mathbf{G}_k(X):=\langle\wp_k(X),\wp_{k+1}(X),\subset\rangle$$

will be called *a combinatorial Grassmannian* (cf. [14]).

The (m-th) *combinatorial Veronesian* over X is the incidence structure

$$\mathbf{V}_m(X):=\langle\mathfrak{y}_m(X),\mathcal{L}^*,\in\rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^*=\left\{eX^r\colon 1\leq r\leq m,\ e\in\mathfrak{y}_{m-r}(X)\right\}$ and $eX^r=\{ex^r\colon x\in X\}$ (cf. [12]).

Let X be a nonempty set and $|X|=n$; we write $\mathbf{V}_m(n)=\mathbf{V}_m(X)$ and $\mathbf{G}_k(n)=\mathbf{G}_k(X)$. Let us quote three classical examples: $\mathbf{G}_2(4)\cong\mathbf{V}_2(3)$ is the Veblen (Pasch) configuration, $\mathbf{G}_2(5)$ is the Desargues configuration **DES**, and $\mathbf{V}_3(3)$ is the 10_3G Kantor configuration (see [12], [5]). The last one is sometimes called the Veronese configuration ([12]).

Let X be a non void set. Formally, *a nondirected loopless graph defined on* X is a structure of the form $\langle X,\mathcal{P}\rangle$ with $\mathcal{P}\subset\wp_2(X)$ but in the sequel we shall frequently

identify it with $\mathcal{P}$ and we shall call $\mathcal{P}$ simply a graph. We write K_X and N_X for the complete graph with the vertices X and for its complement, respectively.

Finally, let us recall one of the definitions of a multiveblen configuration (cf. [13]). Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a graph defined on X, $|X| = n$, and let $\mathfrak{H} = \langle \wp_2(X), \mathcal{L}\rangle$ be a partial Steiner triple system. The *points* of *the multiveblen configuration* $\mathfrak{M} := \mathbb{MW}^p_{X\triangleright_{\mathcal{P}}}\mathfrak{H}$ are the following: p, a_i, b_i with $i \in X$, c_z with $z \in \wp_2(X)$. The *lines* of $\mathfrak{M}$ are the following sets:

$$\{a_i, b_j, c_{\{i,j\}}\} \text{ and } \{a_j, b_i, c_{\{i,j\}}\} \text{ for } \{i,j\} \in \wp_2(X) \setminus \mathcal{P};$$
$$\{a_i, a_j, c_{\{i,j\}}\} \text{ and } \{b_i, b_j, c_{\{i,j\}}\} \text{ for } \{i,j\} \in \mathcal{P};$$
$$\{p, a_i, b_i\},\ i \in X; \quad \{c_u, c_v, c_w\}, \text{ where } \{u,v,w\} \text{ is a line of } \mathfrak{H}.$$

A multiveblen configuration is *simple* if $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbf{G}_2(X)$. The point p is a *center* of $\mathfrak{M}$.

Fact 1.1. $\mathbf{V}_m(k)$ *and* $\mathbf{G}_{k-1}(n)$ *are* $\left(\binom{n}{m}_m \binom{n}{k}_k\right)$*-configurations, where* $n = m + k - 1$.

If $\mathfrak{H}$ *is a* $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2} \binom{n}{3}_3\right)$*-configuration then* $\mathbb{MW}^p_{X\triangleright_{\mathcal{P}}}\mathfrak{H}$ *is a* $\left(\binom{n+2}{2}_n \binom{n+2}{3}_3\right)$*-configuration.*

For every distinct $i, j \in X$ *the substructure of* $\mathbb{MW}^p_{X\triangleright_{\mathcal{P}}}\mathfrak{H}$ *with the points* $p, a_i, a_j, b_i, b_j, c_{\{i,j\}}$ *is the Veblen configuration.*

If $|X| = n$ *then* $\mathbb{MW}^p_{X\triangleright_{K_X}} \mathbf{G}_2(X) \cong \mathbf{G}_2(n+2)$.

Note that combinatorial Grassmannians $\mathbf{G}_2(n)$, combinatorial Veronesians $\mathbf{V}_k(3)$, and multiveblen configurations defined above are binomial partial Steiner triples.

We say that a configuration $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$ *freely contains* the complete graph K_X if and only if $X \subset S$, for every edge $e \in \wp_2(X)$ there is a unique block $\overline{e} \in \mathcal{L}$ that contains e, and any two edges $e_1, e_2 \in \wp_2(X)$ such that $\overline{e_1} \cap \overline{e_2} \neq \emptyset$ have a common vertex in X.

1.2 Systems of triangle perspectives

In the paper we shall consider also configurations defined by the following construction, which proposes another approach to "gluing" Veblen configurations, more general than the notion of a multiveblen configuration.

Construction 1.2. Let I be an n-element set, $\mathcal{T} := \{a, b, c\}$, and $I \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$. Moreover, adopt the convention $X \in \{A, B, C\}$ ($X = A$ if $x = a$ etc., where $x \in \mathcal{T}$). Let n Veblen configurations $\mathcal{V}^i$ labelled by the elements $i \in I$,

$$\mathcal{V}^i = \langle \{q^a, q^b, q^c, a_i, b_i, c_i\}, \{L, A_i, B_i, C_i\}\rangle,\ i \in I,$$

have a common line $L = \{q^a, q^b, q^c\}$, let $q^x \mid X_i$, $x_i \not\mid X_i$ for $i \in I$, $x \in \mathcal{T}$. Let perspectives[1] $\xi^{i,j}\colon \mathcal{V}^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^j$ with centers $q^{i,j}$ be given for distinct $i, j \in I$; then the triples $\{q^{i,j}, x_i, y_j\}$ ($x, y \in \mathcal{T}$) for $y_j = \xi^{i,j}(x_i)$, $i, j \in I$ are considered as 'perspective rays'. In other words, let ξ be a map $\xi\colon I \times I \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{T}}$ such that $\xi(i,i) = \mathrm{id}$ and $\xi(j,i) = (\xi(i,j))^{-1}$ for $i, j \in I$; then $\xi^{i,j}(x_i) = (\xi(i,j)(x))_j$ and $q^{i,j}$ are abstract "new" points such that the perspective rays have form $\{q^{i,j}, x_i, \xi^{i,j}(x_i)\}$ for all distinct $i, j \in I$ and $x \in \mathcal{T}$. Finally, let $\mathfrak{H} = \langle \wp_2(I), \mathcal{L}'_0\rangle$ be a $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2} \binom{n}{3}_3\right)$-configuration and let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the image of the family $\mathcal{L}'_0$ under the map $\wp_2(I) \ni$

[1] The term "perspective" used here may be slightly misleading; $\xi^{i,j}$ is not any "real" perspective of $\mathcal{V}^i$ onto $\mathcal{V}^j$, as the latter should fix the points on L, which yields $\xi(i,j) = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore, in the sequel we prefer to use the term "perspective of triangles".

$\{i,j\} \longmapsto q^{i,j}$. The union of the configurations $\mathcal{V}^i$, $i \in I$, the points $q^{i,j}$, $\{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)$, the perspective rays, and the lines $\mathcal{L}_0$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathfrak{H}$ and will be called *a system of triangle perspectives*. The line L is called its *basis*.

A system of triangle perspectives is *simple* if $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbf{G}_2(I)$. ○

In the sequel in most parts we shall adopt simply $I = \{1,\dots,n\}$ and we shall consider, mainly, simple STP's.

Let $\rho \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ be a "rotation": $\rho(a) = b$, $\rho(b) = c$, $\rho(c) = a$. Let us write σ_x for the map $\sigma_x \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ such that $\{x, y, \sigma_x(y)\} = \mathcal{T}$ for any distinct $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$. Evidently, $\xi(i,j) \in \{\mathrm{id}, \rho, \rho^{-1}, \sigma_x \colon x \in \mathcal{T}\}$ for every $i,j \in I$. It is clear that $\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathfrak{H}$ is a $\left(\binom{n+3}{2}_{n+1}\binom{n+3}{3}_3\right)$-configuration, where $n = |I|$. Note that if $n = 3$ then $\mathfrak{H}$ is the line $\mathbf{G}_2(3)$ and thus corresponding STP's are simple.

1.3 Main results

Recall a known classifying theorem:

Theorem (see [8])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a $(10_3\,10_3)$-configuration (i.e. let it be a binomial $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration with $n = 4$). Assume that $\mathfrak{M}$ freely contains at least 2 $(= n-2)$ graphs K_n. Then either $\mathfrak{M}$ is the Desargues Configuration $\mathbf{G}_2(5)$ with $n+1 = 5$ subgraphs K_4, or it is the Kantor 10_3G-configuration with $n-1 = 3$ subgraphs K_4, or it is the fez configuration with $n-2$ subgraphs K_4. No 10_3-configuration freely contains a K_5-graph.*

In our investigations the following theorem, which directly follows from a general theorem [11, Thm. 2.20, Cor. 2.21], is crucial

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a $(15_4\,20_3)$-configuration (i.e. let it be a binomial $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration with $n = 5$). Assume that $\mathfrak{M}$ freely contains at least 3 $(= n-2)$ graphs K_n. Then either $\mathfrak{M}$ is the combinatorial Grassmannian $\mathbf{G}_2(6)$ with $6 = n+1$ graphs K_5, or it is a simple multiveblen configuration on 15 points, with at least $4 = n-1$ graphs K_5, or it is a (simple) system of triangle perspectives on 15 points. No such a configuration freely contains a K_6-graph.*

On the other hand, the simple multiveblen configurations on 15 points are all known:

Theorem (see [13, Thm. 4])**.** *Up to an isomorphism, there are exactly three simple multiveblen configurations on 15 points; these are the following:*

$\mathbb{W}^p_{J\triangleright_{K_J}}\mathbf{G}_2(J) \cong \mathbf{G}_2(6)$, $\mathbb{W}^p_{J\triangleright_{N_J}}\mathbf{G}_2(J) \cong \mathbb{B}(4)$ *(cf. [13] for a definition of the latter structure), and* $\mathbb{W}^p_{J\triangleright_{L_J}}\mathbf{G}_2(J)$,

where L_J is a linear graph on $J = \{1,2,3,4\}$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following complete classification of all the $(15_4\,20_3)$-configurations which freely contain at least three graphs K_5:

Theorem (main)**.** *There are exactly seventeen binomial partial Steiner triple systems on 15 points that freely contain at least three graphs K_5 each. These are systems $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ with $I=\{1,2,3\}$ of triangle perspectives determined by the following triples $(\xi(1,2),\xi(2,3),\xi(1,3))$:*

$$(\rho,\rho,\rho),\ (\rho,\rho,\mathrm{id}),\ (\rho,\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}),\ (\rho,\rho,\rho^{-1}),\ (\rho,\rho^{-1},\mathrm{id}),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\sigma_z),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\mathrm{id}),$$
$$(\sigma_x,\rho,\mathrm{id}),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\rho),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\rho^{-1}),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_x,\sigma_y),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_x,\rho),\ (\rho,\rho,\sigma_z),$$
$$(\rho,rho^{-1},\sigma_z),\ (\sigma_x,\sigma_x,\sigma_x),\ (\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id},\sigma_x),\ \textit{and}\ (\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})$$

$(x,y,z$ are arbitrary, with $\{x,y,z\}=\{a,b,c\})$.

The last three triples determine a PSTS with (at least) four graphs K_5, and among them the last one contains six graphs K_5.

Some remarks on specific features of the geometry of corresponding configurations together with characterizations of their automorphism groups are given in the next section, where, in several steps we prove our main result.

2 Reasoning

Let us adopt the notation of Subsection 1.2 We write $p\sim p'$ when points p,p' are collinear.

2.1 Relations between multiveblen configurations and systems of triangle perspectives

Systems of triangle perspectives generalize multiveblen configurations: *every simple multiveblen configuration is a simple system of triangle perspectives.* More precisely, we have the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let $I=\{1,\dots,n\}$, $p=\{n{+}1,n{+}2\}$, $\mathcal{P}$ be a graph defined on the set $I\cup\{0\}=:I'$, and $\mathfrak{H}'$ be a $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration defined on the point-set $\wp_2(I')$ such that $\{\{0,i\},\{0,j\},\{i,j\}\}$ is a line of $\mathfrak{H}'$ for every $\{i,j\}\in\wp_2(I)$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the subconfiguration of $\mathfrak{H}'$ with the point set $\wp_2(I)$. Then there is a map $\xi\colon I\times I\longrightarrow S_{\mathfrak{T}}$ such that $\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{H}'\cong\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathfrak{H}$.*

Consequently, for every $\mathcal{P}$ as above, $\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathbf{G}_2(I')\cong\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$.

Proof. In the first step we note that there is a graph $\mathcal{P}'$ such that $\{0,i\}\in\mathcal{P}'$ for every $i\in I$ and $\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{H}'\cong\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}'}\mathfrak{H}'$. For two graphs $\mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_2$ on I' and $i_0\in I'$ we write $\mathcal{P}_2=\mu_{i_0}(\mathcal{P}_1)$ iff two conditions hold: $\{i_0,j\}\in\mathcal{P}_2$ iff $\{i_0,j\}\notin\mathcal{P}_1$ for $j\neq i_0$, and $\{i,j\}\in\mathcal{P}_2$ iff $\{i,j\}\in\mathcal{P}_1$ for $j,i\neq i_0$. Let $\{i_1,\dots,i_s\}=\{i\in I\colon\{0,i\}\notin\mathcal{P}\}$ and let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the image of $\mathcal{P}$ under the composition $\mu_{i_1}\circ\dots\circ\mu_{i_s}$. By [13, Prop. 9], $\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{H}'\cong\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}'}\mathfrak{H}'$. Define

$$\xi(i,j)(c)=c\quad\text{and}\quad\xi(i,j)(a,b)=\begin{cases}(a,b) & \text{if } \{i,j\}\in\mathcal{P}'\\ (b,a) & \text{if } \{i,j\}\notin\mathcal{P}'\end{cases};$$

for all $\{i,j\}\in\wp_2(I)$. It is seen that the following relabelling

$$q^c\mapsto p,\ \ q^b\mapsto a_0,\ \ q^a\mapsto b_0,\ \ a_i\mapsto a_i,\ \ b_i\mapsto b_i,\ \ c_i\mapsto c_{\{0,i\}}\ \text{ for } i\in I,$$
$$\text{and }\ q^{i,j}\mapsto c_{\{i,j\}}\ \text{ for } \{i,j\}\in\wp_2(I)$$

establishes an isomorphism of $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathfrak{H}$ onto $\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'}{\triangleright}_{\mathcal{P}'}\mathfrak{H}'$. □

Let us make some comments on the idea of the proof of 2.1. Note that, in view of 1.2, the role of the points q^c, q^b, q^a on the line L is symmetric. Observing the proof we note that the point q^c was chosen in $\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathfrak{H}$ so that it appears to be the center of the given $\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'\triangleright_{\mathcal{P}'}}\mathfrak{H}'$. But this center can be chosen arbitrary on L and thus, reversing the reasoning of that proof we obtain

Proposition 2.2. *Let I, I', p be as in 2.1; let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2}\binom{n}{3}_3\right)$-configuration on the pointset $\wp_2(I)$. Assume that $\xi\colon I\times I\longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{T}}$ fixes some $x\in\mathcal{T}$ i.e. $\xi^{i,j}(x)=x$ for all i,j. Then there is a graph $\mathcal{P}$ on I' and a $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration $\mathfrak{H}'$ extending $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathfrak{H}\cong\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'\triangleright_{\mathcal{P}}}\mathfrak{H}'$.*

In particular, for every such ξ there is $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathbf{G}_2(I)\cong\mathbb{MW}^p_{I'\triangleright_{\mathcal{P}}}\mathbf{G}_2(I')$.

However, there are systems of triangle perspectives that are not multiveblen configurations (see 2.9, 2.10).

2.2 Systems of triangle perspectives and their characteristic subconfigurations

We start with determining Desargues and Veronese subconfigurations contained in a given system of triangle perspectives. In essence, we shall determine such subconfigurations with one of their lines being the distinguished base line L. Let us begin with some observations.

Representation 2.3. Let us consider a paricular case $I=\{1,2\}$ and $\mathfrak{E}=\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathfrak{Z}$, where $\mathfrak{Z}=\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ is a trivial structure consisting of one single point. Clearly, $\mathfrak{E}$ is a (10_3)-configuration. Then $\xi=\xi(1,2)\in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ is of one of the following three types.

(i; $\xi=\mathrm{id}$) Then $\mathfrak{E}$ is the classical Desargues configuration **DES**.

(ii; $\xi=\sigma_c$) Then $\mathfrak{E}$ is the Veronese configuration $\mathbf{V}_3(3)$.

(iii; $\xi=\rho$) Then $\mathfrak{E}$ is another cousin of the **DES**-configuration, visualized in Figure 3. We shall call it the **DES"**-configuration (it is sometimes called the fez configuration, see [8]).

Note (comp. [8]) that through (i)–(iii) we have shown all the possible (10_3)-configurations that can be presented as a perspective of two triangles.

Now, let I be arbitrary and $\mathfrak{N}=\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathfrak{H}$. *For any distinct $i',i''\in I$ the two Veblen subconfigurations $\mathcal{V}^{i'}$, $\mathcal{V}^{i''}$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ with the common line L completed by the point $q^{i',i''}$ yield a (10_3)-subconfiguration $\mathfrak{N}_{i',i''}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{i',i''}\cong\mathfrak{E}$, where $\mathfrak{E}$ is one of the three introduced through* (i)–(iii) *above*; namely: **DES** for $\xi(i',i'')=\mathrm{id}$, **DES'** for $\xi(i',i'')=\sigma_x$, $x\in\mathcal{T}$, and **DES"** for $\xi(i',i'')=\rho,\rho^{-1}$.

Finally, comparing the Pasch subconfigurations of $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{E}$ we can justify that, conversely, *if $\mathfrak{E}$ is a substructure of $\mathfrak{N}$ such that L is a line of $\mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{E}$ is isomorphic to one of* (i)–(iii) *then $\mathfrak{E}$ is spanned by $\mathcal{V}^{i'},\mathcal{V}^{i''}$ for some $i',i''\in I$.* ○

The following is immediate (it can be even stated without proof, we think), though it is quite central in our investigations.



Figure 1: The **DES**-configuration.



Figure 2: The **DES'**-configuration.



Figure 3: The **DES"**-configuration.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\xi\colon I \times I \longrightarrow S_{\mathfrak{T}}$, *and* $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{TP}_{I\,\triangleright_\xi}\mathbf{G}_2(I) = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$ *be an* STP. *Then*

(i) *the set*

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \{a_i, b_i, c_i\} \cup \{q^{i,j} : j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}$$

is a K_{m+2}*-graph freely contained in* $\mathfrak{M}$ *for each* $i \in I$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{M}$ *is a binomial* $\left(\binom{m+3}{2}_{m+1}\binom{m+3}{3}_3\right)$ *partial Steiner triple system, so the maximal size of a complete subgraph of* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is* $n = m + 2$.

Assume, moreover, that $\mathfrak{M}$ *freely contains exactly* $n - 2 = m$ *graphs* K_n.

(ii) *The family* $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{K}_i\colon i \in I\}$ *consists of the complete* K_n*-graphs freely contained in* $\mathfrak{M}$.

(iii) $\mathcal{Q} := \{q^{i,j}\colon \{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)\} = \{\mathcal{K}' \cap \mathcal{K}'' \colon \mathcal{K}', \mathcal{K}'' \in \mathcal{K},\ \mathcal{K}' \neq \mathcal{K}''\}$, *so* $\mathcal{Q}$



Points in a row are the points of a triangle of one of the Veblen figures with common line L that are not on L; points in a column correspond each to other in that they are collinear with the same points on L. Lines indicate that the respective points (from distinct triangles) are collinear.

Table 1: The diagram of the line L in the case (viii) of 2.8.

remains distinguishable in $\mathfrak{M}$ as well.

(iv) *$L = \bigcap\{S \setminus \mathcal{K} \colon \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and therefore L is distinguishable in $\mathfrak{M}$.*

(v) *Set $\mathcal{D}_x = \{x_i \colon i \in I\}$ for $x \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_x = \{a \in S \colon a \not\sim q^x,\ a \notin Q\}$, so the family $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{D}_x \colon x \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is distinguishable in terms of the structure $\mathfrak{M}$.*

Consequently, the set L and the families $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{Q}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ remain invariant under the automorphisms of $\mathfrak{M}$.

Corollary 2.5. *Assume that for every $x \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $\{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)$ such that $\xi(i,j)(x) \neq x$ (cf. 2.2). Then the structure $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ is not a simple multiveblen configuration.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{N} := \mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ contains one more complete K_n-graph Y. Here, we use some observations of [11]. For each $i \in I$ there is a point u_i such that $Y \cap \mathcal{K}_i = \{u_i\}$; then $u_i \in \{a_i, b_i, c_i\}$. We have $|Y \setminus \{u_i \colon i \in I\}| = 2$, so there are two points x', y' such that $Y \setminus \{u_i \colon i \in I\} = \{x', y'\}$, and $x', y' \in L$. There are $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\{x', y'\} = \{q^x, q^y\}$. Take $z \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\{x, y, z\} = \mathcal{T}$; since Y is a complete graph we have $u_i \sim q^x, q^y$ and therefore $u_i = z_i$ for every $i \in I$. On the other hand the points $Y \cap \mathcal{K}_i$, $Y \cap \mathcal{K}_j$, $\mathcal{K}_i \cap \mathcal{K}_j$ are on a line for any two distinct $i, j \in I$. This means that $\{z_i, z_j, q^{i,j}\}$ is a line of $\mathfrak{N}$ i.e. $\xi(i,j)(z) = z$ for all i, j. This contradicts the assumptions. □

One more evident observation will be also useful

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha \in S_I$, $\beta \in S_\mathcal{T}$, and ξ be a matrix defining a system of triangle perspectives $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathfrak{H}$. Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be the image of $\mathfrak{H}$ under the map $\{i,j\} \mapsto \{\alpha(i), \alpha(j)\}$ defined on $\wp_2(I)$; finally, let a matrix ζ be defined by*

$$\zeta(i,j) = \beta \circ \xi(\alpha^{-1}(i), \alpha^{-1}(j)) \circ \beta^{-1}, \text{ for all } i, j \in I.$$

Then the following map F,

$$F(x_i) = (\beta(x))_{\alpha(i)},\ \ F(q^x) = q^{\beta(x)},\ \ F(q^{i,j}) = q^{\alpha(i),\alpha(j)},\ \ x \in \mathcal{T},\ i, j \in I$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathfrak{H}$ onto $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\zeta\mathfrak{K}$. The map F will be denoted by $\beta \times \alpha$.

As an immediate consequence we get

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\alpha \in S_I$ and $\beta \in S_\mathcal{T}$. If the map $F_0 \colon x_i \longmapsto \beta(x)_{\alpha(i)}$ $(x \in \mathcal{T},\ i \in I)$ preserves the diagram of L (cf. Table 1), i.e. $\xi(i,j)(x) = y$ iff $\xi(\alpha(i), \alpha(j))(\beta(x)) = \beta(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$, $i, j \in I$ then $\beta \times \alpha$ is a (unique) automorphism of $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ extending F_0.*

Conversely, if $F \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I))$ preserves the line L then there are $\alpha \in S_I$ and $\beta \in S_\mathcal{T}$ such that $F = \beta \times \alpha$.

Proof. The first statement is evident in view of 2.6. Let $F \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I))$ preserve L. So, F determines a permutation α of the Veblen subconfigurations of $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ having L as one of its lines defined by the condition $F(\mathcal{V}^i) = \mathcal{V}^{\alpha(i)}$. Then for every $i \in I$ there is $\beta_i \in S_\mathcal{T}$ with $F(x_i) = \beta_i(x)_{\alpha(i)}$; this gives $F(q^x) = q^{\beta_i(x)}$ for $x \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus $\beta_i = \beta_j =: \beta$ for all $i, j \in I$ and, finally, $F = \beta \times \alpha$. □

2.3 Classifications

Classification 2.8. Let $I = \{1,2,3\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{a_i, b_i, c_i \colon i \in I\}$. Recall that $\rho(a,b,c) = (b,c,a)$. Consider the substructure of $\mathfrak{N} := \mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ determined by $\mathcal{A}$. In the following list we indicate types of possible triples $\xi(1,2), \xi(2,3), \xi(1,3)$.

(i; ρ,ρ,ρ) Let $\xi(1,2) = \xi(2,3) = \xi(1,3) = \rho$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the 9-gon $(a_1, b_2, c_3, b_1, c_2, a_3, c_1, a_2, b_3)$.

(ii; ρ,ρ,id) Set $\xi(1,2) = \rho = \xi(2,3)$ and $\xi(1,3) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the 9-gon $(a_1, b_2, c_3, c_1, a_2, b_3, b_1, c_2, a_3)$.

(iii; $\rho,\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}$) Let $\xi(1,2) = \rho$, $\xi(1,3) = \xi(2,3) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the 9-gon $(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_1, c_2, c_3, c_1, a_2, a_3)$.

(iv; ρ,ρ,ρ^{-1}) Set $\xi(1,2) = \rho = \xi(2,3) = \xi(3,1)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ consists of three triangles (a_1, b_2, c_3), (b_1, c_2, a_3), and (c_1, a_2, b_3).

(v; $\rho,\rho^{-1},\mathrm{id}$) Set $\xi(1,2) = \rho = \xi(3,2)$ and $\xi(1,3) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ consists of three triangles (a_1, b_2, a_3), (b_1, c_2, b_3), and (c_1, a_2, c_3).

(vi; $\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\sigma_z$) Let $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_a$, $\xi(1,3) = \sigma_c$, and $\xi(2,3) = \sigma_b$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the union of the hexagon $(a_2, c_3, c_1, b_2, b_3, a_1)$ and the triangle (c_2, b_1, a_3).

(vii; $\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\mathrm{id}$) Set $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_a$, $\xi(2,3) = \sigma_c$, and $\xi(1,3) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the 9-gon $(a_1, a_2, b_3, b_1, c_2, c_3, c_1, b_2, a_3)$.

(viii; $\sigma_x,\rho,\mathrm{id}$) Set $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_a$, $\xi(2,3) = \rho$, and $\xi(1,3) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the union of the hexagon $(a_1, a_2, b_3, b_1, c_2, a_3)$ and the triangle (c_1, b_2, c_3).

(ix; σ_x,σ_y,ρ) Set $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_a$, $\xi(2,3) = \sigma_c$, and $\xi(1,3) = \rho$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ consists of three triangles (a_1, a_2, b_3), (b_1, c_2, c_3), and (c_1, b_2, a_3).

(x; $\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\rho^{-1}$) Set $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_a$, $\xi(2,3) = \sigma_c$, and $\xi(3,1) = \rho$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the 9-gon $(a_1, a_2, b_3, c_1, b_2, a_3, b_1, c_2, c_3)$.

(xi; $\sigma_x,\sigma_x,\sigma_y$) Set $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_c = \xi(2,3)$ and $\xi(1,3) = \sigma_a$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the hexagon $(b_1, a_2, b_3, c_1, c_2, c_3)$ and the triangle (a_1, b_2, a_3).

(xii; σ_x,σ_x,ρ) Set $\xi(1,2) = \sigma_c = \xi(2,3)$ and $\xi(1,3) = \rho$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the 9-gon $(a_1, b_2, a_3, c_1, c_2, c_3, b_1, a_2, b_3)$.

(xiii; ρ,ρ,σ_z) Set $\xi(1,2) = \rho = \xi(2,3)$ and $\xi(1,3) = \sigma_c$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the hexagon $(a_1, b_2, c_3, c_1, a_2, b_3)$ and the triangle (b_1, c_2, a_3).

(xiv; ρ,ρ^{-1},σ_z) Set $\xi(1,2) = \rho$, $\xi(2,3) = \rho^{-1}$ and $\xi(1,3) = \sigma_c$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the hexagon $(a_1, b_2, a_3, b_1, c_2, b_3)$ and the triangle (c_1, a_2, c_3).

From 2.5 we get that in every of the above cases $\mathfrak{N}$ is not a simple multiveblen configuration. The numbers of **DES**, **DES'**, and **DES"**-configurations containing L and contained in respective STP's, together with other important parameters are presented in Table 2. ○

Proposition 2.9. *Let $|I| = 3$. The list* (i)–(xiv) *of 2.8 exhausts all the possible systems ξ (up to permutations of I and of $\mathcal{T}$) such that $\mathbb{TP}_{I\triangleright_\xi}\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ is not a simple multiveblen configuration (cf. 2.2, 2.5).*

The structures in this list are pairwise nonisomorphic. Consequently, every $(15_4\,20_3)$-system of triangle perspectives that is not a simple multiveblen configuration is isomorphic to exactly one of the structures defined through (i)–(xiv) *of 2.8.*

Proof. Any system ξ is determined by three maps: $\xi(1,2), \xi(2,3), \xi(1,3)$, each one in one of the following classes $P = \{\rho, \rho^{-1}\}$, $\Sigma = \{\sigma_a\sigma_b, \sigma_c\}$, and $\Delta = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. Thus (cf. 2.6) the type of ξ can be represented by a 3-multiset with elements in the above three classes. Let us take into account three observations. Firstly, the type $3 \times P$ represents both $2 \times \rho + \rho^{-1}$ and $3 \times \rho$. Secondly, $3 \times \Sigma$ represents $\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3}$ where either the x_i are distinct or some of them coincide (similar remark concerns $2 \times P$ and $2 \times \Sigma$). Thirdly, $\sigma_x\sigma_y = \rho$ or $\sigma_x\sigma_y = \rho^{-1}$; the arising configurations are distinguishable by 2.4 (look at the diagram of L in corresponding structures). Then canceling types which, in view of 2.2 produce a multiveblen configuration (e.g. $(\sigma_x, \sigma_x, \mathrm{id})$, which fixes x) we obtain the list considered in 2.8.

We write $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathsf{n}}$ for the structure defined in (n) of 2.8, with $\mathsf{n} = 1, ..., 14$. To prove that these structures are pairwise nonisomorphic note, first, that if two of them would be isomorphic then the numbers of their **DES**, **DES'**, and **DES"**-configurations having L as one of its lines should agree. If these numbers agree, one compares the type of polygons $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e. the diagrams of L). The above procedure doses not distinguish structures in the following three pairs only: ((vi),(xi)), ((x),(xii)), and ((xiii),(xiv)).

Let us consider the pair $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiii}}$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiv}}$. Suppose that F is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiv}}$ onto $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiii}}$. Then F maps the line L onto itself. Moreover, F maps the triangle (unique in the diagram of L) $\Delta_1 = (c_1, a_2, c_3)$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiv}}$ onto the triangle (analogously the unique one) $\Delta_2 = (b_1, c_2, a_3)$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiii}}$. The triangle Δ_2 crosses each set in the family $\mathcal{D}$ defined over $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiii}}$, while Δ_1 misses $\mathcal{D}_b$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiv}}$. The respective families $\mathcal{D}$ should be preserved by isomorphisms, so no isomorphism may map Δ_1 onto Δ_2 and thus $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiii}} \ncong \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xiv}}$.

Let us consider the pair $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{vi}}$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xi}}$. Suppose that F is an isomorphism of the respective structures. Then F maps the triangle $\Delta_1 = (c_2, b_1, a_3)$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{vi}}$ onto the triangle $\Delta_2 = (a_1, b_2, a_3)$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xi}}$. The reasoning as above shows, that no isomorphism may map Δ_1 onto Δ_2 and, consequently, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{vi}} \ncong \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xi}}$.

Finally, let us consider the pair $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xii}}$. The 9-gon $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xii}}$ contains three consecutive points in one element of the family $\mathcal{D}$ (namely: the sequence (c_1, c_2, c_3) in $\mathcal{D}_c$), while no such subsequence can be found in the 9-gon around L in $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{x}} \ncong \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{xii}}$. □

	the type in the classification of 2.8													
the number of	i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	ix	x	xi	xii	xiii	xiv
DES-subconfigurations	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
DES'-subconfigurations	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	2	2	3	2	1	1
DES"-subconfigurations	3	2	1	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	2
triangles around L	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	1
hexagons around L	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
9-gons around L	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

Table 2: Parameters of $(15_4\,20_3)$-systems of triangle perspectives.

Remark 2.10. *Note that the three* $(15_4\,20_3)$ *simple multiveblen configurations that are systems of triangle perspectives can be associated (as in 2.8) with the following*

triples $(\xi(1,2),\xi(2,3),(\xi(1,3)))$ *($J=\{1,2,3,4\}$):*

(i; id, id, id) $\mathbb{MP}^p_J{\triangleright}_{K_J}\mathbf{G}_2(J)\cong\mathbf{G}_2(6)$,

(ii; $\sigma_x,\sigma_x,\sigma_x$) $\mathbb{MP}^p_J{\triangleright}_{N_J}\mathbf{G}_2(J)\cong\mathbb{IB}(4)$ *(cf. [13] for a definition of the latter structure),*

(iii; σ_x,σ_x, id, or id, id, σ_x) $\mathbb{MP}^p_J{\triangleright}_{L_J}\mathbf{G}_2(J)$, *where L_J is a linear graph on J.*

Remark 2.11. *Let $I=\{1,2,3\}$, $\xi(1,2)=$ id, $\xi(2,3)=\rho$, and $\xi(1,3)=\rho$ (cf. 2.8*(v)*). Then $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)\cong\mathbb{MP}^{q^{1,2}}_{\mathcal{Z}}{\triangleright}_{K_{\mathcal{Z}}}\mathfrak{V}$, where $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{T}\cup\{0\}$ and the Veblen configuration $\mathfrak{V}$ defined on $\wp_2(\mathcal{Z})$ has the lines*

$\wp_2(\mathcal{T})$, $\{\{0,a\},\{0,b\},\{b,c\}\}$, $\{\{0,b\},\{0,c\},\{a,c\}\}$, *and* $\{\{0,c\},\{0,a\},\{a,b\}\}$.

Clearly, $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ is not any simple *multiveblen configuration.*

Proof. Let us write in the definition of a multiveblen configuration j_a instead of a_j, and j_b instead of b_j (j is an element of the "indexing" set). Next, replace the symbols a,b used as indices by the symbols '1' and '2' resp. (in particular, this operation relabels $(a_a,b_a,a_b,b_b,a_c,b_c)\mapsto(a_1,a_2,b_1,b_2,c_1,c_2)$). Finally, it suffices to denote $p=q^{1,2}$, $0_1=q^{1,3}$, $0_2=q^{2,3}$, write down the points on lines through p, and apply definitions of a multiveblen configuration and 1.2. Note two examples: the triples (b_1,c_1,q^a) and (b_2,c_2,q^a) are collinear and thus $q^a=c_{\{b,c\}}$; the triples $(q^{1,3},c_1,a_3)$ and $(q^{2,3},c_2,a_3)$ are collinear and thus $a_3=c_{\{0,c\}}$; and so on. □

Remark 2.12. Note that a multiveblen configuration has a center i.e. a point p such that any two lines through p yield a Veblen configuration. Considering the lists of 2.8 and 2.10 and taking into account 2.11 we get that *exactly four* $(15_4\,20_3)$*-multiveblen configurations can be presented as a system of triangle perspectives*: those quoted in 2.10 and the one defined in 2.8(v). Since there are more than four $(15_4\,20_3)$-multiveblen configurations (cf. [10]) we get that *not every multiveblen configuration can be presented as a system of triangle perspectives.*

Remark 2.13. *It is known that every Veronese configuration $\mathbf{V}_k(3)$ freely contains exactly three K_{k+1}-graphs. In particular, $\mathbf{V}_4(3)$ is a $(15_4\,20_3)$-configuration with three K_5-graphs, so it is an* STP. *Indeed, $\mathbf{V}_4(3)$ is isomorphic to the structure defined in 2.8*(vi).

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{V}=\mathbf{V}_4(\mathcal{T})$; set $I=\{1,2,3\}$, $\xi(1,2)=\sigma_a$, $\xi(2,3)=\sigma_c$, and $\xi(1,3)=\sigma_b$. The following relabelling establishes an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$: $abc\mathcal{T}\mapsto L$, $a^2bc\mapsto q^a$, $ab^2c\mapsto q^b$, $abc^2\mapsto q^c$, $ab^3\mapsto a_1$, $a^3b\mapsto b_1$, $a^2b^2\mapsto c_1$, $ac^3\mapsto a_2$, $a^2c^2\mapsto b_2$, $a^3c\mapsto c_2$, $b^2c^2\mapsto a_3$, $bc^3\mapsto b_3$, $b^3c\mapsto c_3$, $a^4\mapsto q^{1,2}$, $c^4\mapsto q^{2,3}$, $b^4\mapsto q^{1,3}$, $\mathcal{T}^4\mapsto Q$. □

2.4 Automorphisms

In view of 2.7 and 2.4, if the structure $\mathbb{TP}_I{\triangleright}_\xi\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ is not a simple multiveblen configuration to determine its automorphism group it suffices to determine automorphisms of the diagram of L (suitable permutations of its rows and columns).

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\mathfrak{N} = \mathbb{P}_I^{\triangleright}{}_{\xi}\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ be one of the structures defined in 2.8. We write P for the C_3-group generated by ρ. If $i \in I = \{1,2,3\}$ we write σ_i for the transposition of the elements in $I \setminus \{i\}$ – similarly we write ϱ for the cycle $(2,3,1)$ and R for the group generated by ϱ. The group $\mathfrak{G}$ of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{N}$ consists of all the maps $\beta \times \alpha$ where $\alpha \in S_I$ and $\beta \in S_{\mathcal{T}}$ such that:*

cases (i), (ii), (iii)**:** $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$, $\beta \in P$ *or* $\alpha = \sigma_{i_0}$, $\beta = \sigma_x$, $x \in \mathcal{T}$, *where in the corresponding cases $i_0 = 2$, $i_0 = 2$, and $i_0 = 3$; then $\mathfrak{G} \cong C_2 \ltimes C_3 = S_3$.*

case (iv)**:** $\alpha \in R$, $\beta \in P$ *or* $(\alpha,\beta) = (\sigma_i,\sigma_x)$ *with* $x \in \mathcal{T}$, $i \in I$; *then* $\mathfrak{G} \cong C_2 \ltimes (C_3 \oplus C_3)$.

case (v)**:** $\alpha \in \{\mathrm{id},\sigma_2\}$, $\beta \in P$; *then* $\mathfrak{G} \cong C_2 \oplus C_3$.

case (vi)**:** $(\alpha,\beta) = (\varrho^m,\rho^m)$ *with* $m = 0,1,2$ *or* $(\alpha,\beta) = (\sigma_i,\sigma_x)$ *with* $(x,i) \in \{(b,1),(c,2),(a,3)\}$; *then $\mathfrak{G} \cong S_{\mathcal{T}} = S_3$ (cf. 2.13 and [13, Prop. 5]).*

cases (vii), (ix), (x), (xii), (xiii)**:** $(\alpha,\beta) = (\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})$ *or* $(\alpha,\beta) = (\sigma_2,\sigma_{x_0})$, *where in the corresponding cases $x_0 = b$, $x_0 = b$, $x_0 = b$, $x_0 = c$, and $x_0 = c$; then $\mathfrak{G} \cong C_2$.*

case (viii)**:** $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$, $\beta = \mathrm{id}$.

cases (xi), (xiv)**:** $\alpha \in \{\mathrm{id},\sigma_2\}$, $\beta = \mathrm{id}$; *then $\mathfrak{G} \cong C_2$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that in every one of the cases (i)-(xiv) given maps yield automorphisms of the diagram of L. We must verify that $\mathfrak{N}$ has no other automorphisms.

We say that a set of points $\{a_1,\dots,a_{n+1}\}$ is a *path of length n* if points a_i, a_{i+1} are collinear for all $i = 1,\dots,n$ and no three consecutive points from this set are on a line.

Let $F = \beta \times \alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{N})$. Recall that $F(\mathcal{V}^i) = \mathcal{V}^{\alpha(i)}$. Moreover, if $F(X_i) = Y_j$ then $F(x_i) = y_j$. Let us examine corresponding cases of 2.8.

(i): Clearly, F preserves the 9-gon $\mathcal{A}$ in the diagram of L; let f be the induced automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. If f is the rotation τ_1 of $\mathcal{A}$ on 1 item, then $f(a_1) = b_2$, $f(a_3) = c_1$, so, inconsistently, $c = \beta(a) = b$. If f is the rotation τ_2 on 2 items then $f(a_1) = c_3$, $f(a_3) = a_2$ and again a contradiction arises. Finally, only the rotation τ_3 of $\mathcal{A}$ on 3 items and, consequently, the rotation τ_6 on 6 items can be written in the form $\beta \times \alpha$ and then $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$, $\beta \in P$. Now, let f be a reflection in a point d of $\mathcal{A}$. Analyzing $\mathcal{A}$ we obtain $d = a_2$, $d = b_2$ or $d = c_2$, for $\beta = \sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c$ respectively, and $\alpha = \sigma_2$.

(ii): First, note that $\alpha(2) = 2$ since there is only one **DES**-configuration that contains L and this one contains $\mathcal{V}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}^3$. Therefore F leaves the set $H := \{a_2,b_2,c_2\}$ invariant. Consider the 9-gon $\mathcal{A}$ in the diagram of L; an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ that preserves simultaneously H is either the rotation on 3 or 6 items ($b_2 \mapsto a_2$ or $b_2 \mapsto c_2$) or the reflection in one of the points in H. This gives the claim.

(iii): Note that A_3, B_3, C_3 are the unique lines distinct from L, which are contained in both of **DES**-subconfigurations of $\mathfrak{N}$. Therefore, F preserves these lines, so $\alpha(3) = 3$. Moreover, F yields an automorphism f of the 9-gon $\mathcal{A}$ i.e. its suitable rotation or symmetry; taking into account the fact that the set $\{a_3,b_3,c_3\}$ must be invariant under f we get the claim.

(iv): It suffices to show that the maps $f_1 = \sigma_c \times \mathrm{id}$ and $f_2 = \mathrm{id} \times \sigma_2$ do not preserve the diagram of L. Indeed, $b_1 \sim c_2$ and $f_1(b_1) = a_1 \not\sim c_2 = f_1(c_2)$, and $b_1 \sim a_3$ and $f_2(b_1) = b_3 \not\sim a_1 = f_2(a_3)$.

(v): The unique **DES**-subconfiguration of $\mathfrak{N}$ containing L contains $\mathcal{V}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}^3$ and thus F maps $\mathcal{V}^2$ onto itself; therefore $\alpha(2) = 2$ and $\alpha = \mathrm{id}, \sigma_2$. Finally, note that for every $x \in \mathcal{T}$ the diagram of L contains the triangle $(x_1, x_3, \rho(x)_2)$ and no triangle (x_1, x_3, y_2) with $y \neq \rho(x)$; consequently, $\beta \neq \sigma_x$.

(vi): It suffices to note that F leaves the triangle (b_1, c_2, a_3), the unique one in the diagram of L, invariant.

(vii): Note that the set $\mathcal{D}_x \cap \mathcal{A}$ is either a path of length 2 if $x = a, c$, or a union of a path of length 1 and the set $\{b_2\}$ if $x = b$. Thus $\beta(b) = b$, and also $F(b_2) = b_2$, which gives $\alpha(2) = 2$. The point a_1 is the center of the path $\mathcal{D}_a \cap \mathcal{A}$, and c_3 is the center of the path $\mathcal{D}_c \cap \mathcal{A}$. Hence, F fixes each of these two centers or interchanges them. Finally we get that either $F = \sigma_b \times \sigma_2$ or $F = \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}$.

(viii): Considering substructures of $\mathfrak{N}$ spanned by $\mathcal{V}^i \cup \mathcal{V}^j$ (that three are pair wise non isomorphic) we get that $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$. Then, since F leaves the triangle (c_1, b_2, c_3) invariant, we conclude with $\beta = \mathrm{id}$.

(ix): The unique **DES"**-subconfiguration of $\mathfrak{N}$ containing L contains $\mathcal{V}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}^3$ and thus $\alpha(2) = 2$. The unique triangle in the diagram of L which contains a point of each of D_x is (c_1, b_2, a_3), so F preserves it. Particularly, $F(b_2) = b_2$ and the set $\{c_1, a_3\}$ remains invariant under F. Finally we get that either $F = \sigma_b \times \sigma_2$ or $F = \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}$.

(x): As in (ix) we obtain $\alpha(2) = 2$. The set $\mathcal{D}_x \cap \mathcal{A}$ contains no path only for $x = b$, so $\beta(b) = b$. Since a path Γ is contained in a set $\mathcal{D}_x \cap \mathcal{A}$, $x \in \mathcal{T}$ exactly when $\Gamma = \{a_1, a_2\}$ or $\Gamma = \{c_2, c_3\}$, either the map F preserves each of these paths and then $F = \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}$, or F interchanges them, that gives $F = \sigma_b \times \sigma_2$.

(xi): The set $\mathcal{D}_x$ has two, one, and none common elements with the unique triangle (the triangle $\{a_1, b_2, a_3\}$) in the diagram of L exactly when $x = a$, $x = b$, and $x = c$, respectively. Therefore, we get $\beta = \mathrm{id}$. It means also that, in particular, $F(b_2) = b_2$, so $\alpha = \mathrm{id}, \sigma_2$.

(xii): The set $\mathcal{D}_x \cap \mathcal{A}$ is a path of length 2 only for $x = c$, thus $\beta(c) = c$ and $F(\mathcal{D}_c) = \mathcal{D}_c$. The point c_2 is the center of this path, so $F(c_2) = c_2$, and then $\alpha(2) = 2$. The unique points from $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{D}_c$ that are collinear with a point of $\mathcal{D}_c$ are a_3, b_1. Therefore the set $\{a_3, b_1\}$ remains invariant under F. Consequently, either $F = \sigma_c \times \sigma_2$ or $F = \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}$.

(xiii): The unique **DES'**-subconfiguration of $\mathfrak{N}$ having L as its line contains $\mathcal{V}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}^3$, so $\alpha(2) = 2$. Consider the unique triangle (b_1, c_2, a_3) in the diagram of L; in view of the above, $F(c_2) = c_2$ which gives $\beta(c) = c$. Thus either F fixes b_1, a_3 and then $\beta = \mathrm{id}$ and $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$, or F interchanges the points b_1, a_3, which gives $\beta = \sigma_c$ and $\alpha = \sigma_2$.

(xiv): Consider the unique triangle $\Delta = (c_1, a_2, c_3)$ in the diagram of L; clearly, $|\Delta \cap \mathcal{D}_a| = 1$, $|\Delta \cap \mathcal{D}_c| = 2$. Since F preserves Δ, $\beta(a) = a$, $\beta(c) = c$, so $\beta = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore, $F(a_2) = a_2$. Consequently, $\alpha(2) = 2$, so $\alpha = \mathrm{id}, \sigma_2$.

In view of 2.7 and 2.4 this closes the proof. □

References

[1] D. BETTEN, U. SCHUMACHER, *The ten configurations* 10_3, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. **46** (1993), 3–10.

[2] M. BOBEN, B, GRÜNBAUM, T. PISANSKI, A. ZITNIK, *Small triangle-free configurations of points and lines*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **35** (2006), 405–427.

[3] Y. FUJIWARA, *Infinite classes of anti-mitre and* 5*-sparse Steiner triple systems*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **144** (2007), no. 2, 235–252.

[4] H. GROPP, *Configurations and their realization*, *Combinatorics (Rome and Montesilvano, 1994)*, Discrete Math. **174**, no. 1–3 (1997), 137–151.

[5] S. KANTOR, *Die Konfigurationen* $(3,3)_{10}$, Sitzungsberichte Wiener Akad. **84** (1881), 1291–1314.

[6] F. LEVI, *Geometrische Konfigurationen*, Leipzig, S. Hirzel (1929).

[7] A. C. H. LING, C. J. COLBOURN, M. J. GRANELL, T. S. GRIGGS, *Construction techniques for anti-Pasch Steiner triple systems*, Jour. London Math. Soc. **61** (2000), no. 3, 641–657.

[8] K. PETELCZYC, M. PRAŻMOWSKA, 10_3*-configurations and projective realizability of multiplied configurations*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **51**, no. 1 (2009), 45–54.

[9] B. POLSTER, *A geometrical picture book*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1998.

[10] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *Twisted projective spaces and linear completions of some partial Steiner triple systems*, Beiträge Algebra Geom., **49** no. 2 (2008), 341–368.

[11] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, K. PRAŻMOWSKI, *Binomial configurations which contain complete graphs:* K_n*-subgraphs of a* $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$*-configuration*, under review.

[12] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, K. PRAŻMOWSKI, *Combinatorial Veronese structures, their geometry, and problems of embeddability*, Results Math. **51** (2008), 275–308.

[13] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, K. PRAŻMOWSKI, *Some generalization of Desargues and Veronese configurations*, Serdica Math. J. **32** (2006), no 2–3, 185–208.

[14] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *Multiple perspectives and generalizations of the Desargues configuration*, Demonstratio Math. **39** (2006), no. 4, 887–906.

[15] E. STEINITZ, *Konfigurationen der projektiven Geometrie*, Encyklop. d. math. Wiss. III (Geometrie) 1910, 481–516.

Authors' address:
Krzysztof Petelczyc, Małgorzata Prażmowska, Krzysztof Prażmowski
Institute of Mathematics, University of Białystok
ul. Akademicka 2
15-246 Białystok, Poland
e-mail: kryzpet@math.uwb.edu.pl, malgpraz@math.uwb.edu.pl, krzypraz@math.uwb.edu.pl